

2.0 QUALITATIVE MONITORING IN THE PHASE 3 MITIGATION AREAS

2.1 Brief Summary of Plant Installation

During the Phase 3 plant installation effort that was completed on April 28, 2023 a total of 4,124 4-inch container plants and a total of 4,967 cuttings were installed in the DG-4 WOUS, DG-4 WOUS Connections, and DG-4A mitigation areas. In addition, a total of 81 1-gallon container plants were installed in the Tire Wash mitigation area. Container plants and stakes were not installed in the Side Slopes mitigation areas; however, these areas were included in the weed removal effort and a portion of these areas were included in the seeding effort. It is anticipated that DG-4 WOUS, DG-4 WOUS Connections, DG-4A, and the remainder of the Side Slopes will be seeded in the fall/winter of 2023. Table 1 lists container plant species and the numbers installed in each of the Phase 3 mitigation areas.

Scientific Name	Common Name	DG-4 WOUS	DG-4 WOUS Connections	DG-4A	Tire Wash	Total
<i>Artemisia douglasiana</i>	Mugwort	192	21	537	0	750
<i>Baccharis pilularis</i>	Coyote bush	192	21	537	0	750
<i>Baccharis salicifolia</i>	Mulefat (stakes)	506	55	1,414	0	1,975
<i>Populus fremontii</i>	Fremont's cottonwood	192	21	537	0	750
<i>Rosa californica</i>	California rose	192	21	537	0	750
<i>Rubus ursinus</i>	California blackberry	192	21	537	0	750
<i>Salix gooddingii</i>	Black willow (stakes)	383	42	1,071	0	1,496
<i>Salix lasiolepis</i>	Arroyo willow (stakes)	383	42	1,071	0	1,496
<i>Sambucus mexicana</i>	Mexican elderberry	96	10	268	0	374
<i>Acmispon glaber</i>	Deerweed	0	0	0	9	9
<i>Artemisia californica</i>	California sagebrush	0	0	0	24	24
<i>Encelia californica</i>	California brittlebush	0	0	0	10	10
<i>Eriogonum fasciculatum</i>	California buckwheat	0	0	0	24	24
<i>Isocoma menziesii</i>	Menzie's goldenbush	0	0	0	5	5
<i>Salvia mellifera</i>	Black sage	0	0	0	9	9
Total		2,328	254	6,509	81	9,172

All plants were installed according to the methods described in Section 4.11 of the HRP. Planting holes for all container plants were dug to a width twice the size of the root ball and to a depth slightly deeper than

the depth of the root ball so that the root crown was 1 inch below grade following installation. Prior to installation, all plants were thoroughly watered in their containers and the soil in planting holes was wetted with at least 1 gallon of water. Planting holes were backfilled with native soil and irrigation basins, approximately 2 feet in width, were formed around the base of each plant. Rocks greater than 2 inches in diameter were removed to the extent possible from the backfill soil. All container plants were irrigated with at least 1 gallon of water immediately following installation and basin creation.

2.2 Qualitative Monitoring Methods

Qualitative monitoring occurs monthly following the 120-day PEP for the remainder of Year 1 (8 months). Following Year 1, qualitative monitoring will occur quarterly during Years 2 and 3 and twice per year during Years 4 through 10. The purpose of the qualitative monitoring is to assess container plant health and vigor, and monitor the success of the mitigation areas.

During the November 17, 2023 visit all Phase 3 mitigation areas were walked, the health and vigor of container plants were documented, germination from seeding and natural recruitment was noted, and the irrigation lines were inspected for functionality. In addition, the level of nonnative and invasive weed cover was estimated for each of the Phase 3 mitigation areas.

2.3 Qualitative Monitoring Results

2.3.1 DG-4 WOUS/DG-4 WOUS Connections

The overall health of the container plants in DG-4 WOUS and DG-4 WOUS Connections was noted as being good. Approximately 10 percent of the container plants and stakes in DG-4 WOUS and DG-4 WOUS Connections were noted as showing varied levels of stress and a negligible amount were noted as being dead or missing. This is approximately the same percentage of plants that were showing stress during the previous monitoring visit. Stress may be occurring as a result of 1) transplant shock, 2) herbivory by rabbits or other wildlife, 3) competition from nonnative and invasive weeds, 4) misplaced emitters, or 5) recreational traffic through the mitigation areas. Formal mortality counts will be taken for DG-4 WOUS and DG-4 WOUS Connections during the 2024 quantitative monitoring and will be included in the 2024 annual reporting. The majority of the recently planted mulefat and willow (*Salix* spp.) stakes were noted as showing signs of new growth; however, it appears that some did not germinate. Some of the container plants were noted as lacking well defined basins and should have their basins properly constructed and/or repaired. The installation of plants in the DG-4 WOUS and DG-4 WOUS Connections mitigation areas appears to have been completed successfully. The current issues identified during the monitoring visit are not expected to have an effect on the continued growth of the plants in the mitigation area. Photos 1 through 4 in Appendix B document the mitigation areas during the monitoring visit.

A minimal amount of native plant germination from natural recruitment was noted throughout the DG-4 WOUS and DG-4 WOUS Connections mitigation areas. The native species observed sprouting in the DG-4 WOUS and DG-4 WOUS Connections mitigation areas included California sagebrush, mugwort, coyote bush, mulefat, tall flatsedge (*Cyperus eragrostis*), Canada horseweed (*Erigeron canadensis*), telegraph weed (*Heterotheca grandiflora*), ladies' tobacco (*Pseudognaphalium californicum*), blue elderberry (*Sambucus*

mexicana), and Douglas' nightshade (*Solanum douglasii*). In addition, California dodder (*Cuscuta californica*) was observed growing on some of the young container plants and should be removed. Native cover was estimated to be approximately 35 to 40 percent during the monitoring visit.

Nonnative weed cover in DG-4 WOUS and DG-4 WOUS Connections was estimated at approximately 2 percent, which is approximately 8 to 13 percent lower than what was observed during the previous monitoring visit and evidence of recent weed abatement was observed. Nonnative species observed included black mustard (*Brassica nigra*), poison hemlock (*Conium maculatum*), perennial pepperweed (*Lepidium latifolia*), and wand mullein (*Verbascum virgatum*). Most of the nonnative weeds observed in these mitigation areas were just starting to germinate and were not going to flower or seed. As weed abatement continues in the mitigation areas, it is anticipated that the seed bank of nonnative and invasive weeds will decrease; however, perennial pepperweed easily resprouts from rhizomatous roots that can be difficult to fully remove with hand tools.

2.3.2 DG-4A

The overall health of the container plants in DG-4A was noted as being good to fair, depending on the location in the various areas labeled as DG-4A. Overall, approximately 10 percent of the container plants and stakes were noted as showing varied levels of stress. During the monitoring visit, replacement container plants were being installed to replace the container plants and stakes planted in the middle section of DG-4A that appeared to be dead or in a condition unlikely to recover during previous monitoring visits. Stress may be occurring due to similar reasons described for DG-4 WOUS and DG-4 WOUS Connections. It should also be noted that a portion of DG-4A was inundated for two short periods of time during January and February of 2023; however, the inundation does not appear to have contributed to higher levels of stress or mortality in these areas. Formal mortality counts will be taken for DG-4A during the 2024 quantitative monitoring and will be included in the 2024 annual reporting. The majority of the recently planted mulefat and willow stakes were noted as showing signs of new growth. Some of the container plants were noted as lacking well defined basins and should have their basins properly constructed and/or repaired. The installation of plants in the DG-4A mitigation area appears to have been completed successfully and the installation of the replacement container plants should increase native cover. The current issues identified during the monitoring visit are not expected to have an effect on the continued growth of the plants in the mitigation area. Photos 5 through 9 in Appendix B document the mitigation area during the monitoring visit.

Minimal native plant germination from natural recruitment was noted throughout the DG-4A mitigation area. Native plants such as annual bursage (*Ambrosia acanthicarpa*), California sagebrush, mugwort, mulefat, tall flatsedge, Canada horseweed, Hooker's evening primrose (*Oenothera elata*), and Douglas' nightshade were observed sprouting in the mitigation area. Native cover was estimated to be approximately 45 percent during the monitoring visit.

Nonnative weed cover in the DG-4A mitigation area ranged from approximately 1 to 20 percent during the monitoring visit with the northern section of the mitigation area and the portions of the mitigation area where replacement plants were recently installed showing the lowest level of weed cover and signs of recent weed abatement and the middle section of the mitigation area showing the highest level of

weed cover. Nonnative species observed included tree of heaven (*Ailanthus altissima*), black mustard, poison hemlock, perennial pepperweed, and tree tobacco (*Nicotiana glauca*). Most of the nonnative weeds observed in this mitigation area were just starting to germinate; however, some of the black mustard was starting to flower and will soon go to seed. These areas should be weeded prior to seed production. As weed abatement continues in the mitigation areas, it is anticipated that the seed bank of nonnative and invasive weeds will decrease; however, perennial pepperweed easily resprouts from rhizomatous roots that can be difficult to fully remove with hand tools.

2.3.3 Tire Wash

The overall health of the container plants in the Tire Wash mitigation area was noted as being good. Approximately 5 percent of the container plants were noted as showing varied levels of stress, which is approximately 5 to 10 percent less than the percentage of plants that were showing stress during the previous monitoring visit. During the monitoring visit, replacement container plants were noted as having been installed to replace the container plants that appeared to be dead or in a condition unlikely to recover during previous monitoring visits. Stress may be occurring due to similar reasons described for DG-4 WOUS and DG-4 WOUS Connections. Formal mortality counts will be taken for the Tire Wash during the 2024 quantitative monitoring and will be included in the 2024 annual reporting. Some of the container plants were noted as lacking well defined basins and should have their basins properly constructed and/or repaired. The installation of plants in the Tire Wash mitigation area appears to have been completed successfully. The current issues identified during the monitoring visit are not expected to have an effect on the continued growth of the plants in the mitigation area. Photo 10 in Appendix B documents the mitigation area during the monitoring visit.

Minimal native plant germination from natural recruitment was noted throughout the Tire Wash mitigation area. Native plants such as deerweed, annual bursage, Canada horseweed, telegraph weed, and black sage were observed sprouting in the mitigation area. Native cover was estimated to be approximately 50 percent during the monitoring visit.

Nonnative weed cover in the Tire Wash mitigation area was estimated at approximately 5 percent, which is approximately 3 percent more than the level of nonnative cover that was observed during the previous monitoring visit. Nonnative species observed included black mustard. Most of the nonnative weeds observed in this mitigation area were just starting to germinate; however, some were starting to flower and will soon go to seed. These areas should be weeded prior to seed production. As weed abatement continues in the mitigation areas, it is anticipated that the seed bank of nonnative and invasive weeds will decrease; however, perennial pepperweed easily resprouts from rhizomatous roots that can be difficult to fully remove with hand tools.

2.3.4 Side Slopes

Container plants were not installed on the Side Slopes, but a portion of these areas were seeded with native plant species. Native plant growth was noted throughout the Side Slopes, likely both from natural recruitment and from seeding. Portions of the Side Slopes that were previously noted as having significant erosion that occurred as a result of heavy rainfall during the 2023 wet season and following the tropical

storm that occurred in late August 2023 were noted as having been repaired during the previous monitoring visit and will be seeded during the fall/winter of 2023/2024. Native plants such as annual bursage, mulefat, tall flatsedge, Canada horseweed, telegraph weed, black willow, and rough cocklebur (*Xanthium strumarium*) were observed sprouting on the Side Slopes. In addition, California dodder was observed growing on some of the shrubs on the Side Slopes, which could lead to future decline of these shrubs. Overall native cover was estimated to be approximately 40 percent during the monitoring visit; however, native cover in the areas without erosion was estimated to be 50 to 55 percent. Photos 11 and 12 in Appendix B document the Side Slopes during the monitoring visit.

Nonnative weed cover on the Side Slopes was estimated at approximately 5 to 10 percent, which is approximately 5 percent less than the level of weed cover that was observed during the previous monitoring visit and portions of the Side Slopes had evidence of recent weed abatement. Nonnative species observed on the Side Slopes included black mustard, perennial pepperweed, tree tobacco, and castor bean (*Ricinus communis*). Most of the nonnative weeds observed in this mitigation area were just starting to germinate; however, some were starting to flower and will soon go to seed. These areas should be weeded prior to seed production. As weed abatement continues in the mitigation areas, it is anticipated that the seed bank of nonnative and invasive weeds will decrease; however, perennial pepperweed easily resprouts from rhizomatous roots that can be difficult to fully remove with hand tools.

3.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

3.1 Nonnative Plant Control

Nonnative weed cover ranged from approximately 1 percent to 20 percent in the various mitigation areas. During the monitoring visit, the majority of the weed growth observed within the mitigation areas was mostly vegetative and was not flowering or seeding; however, species that were observed to be in flower should be removed prior to seed production. Regular maintenance and removal of nonnative weeds is of the highest priority for all of the mitigation areas and side slopes to reduce competition between native and nonnative plants. A focus should be placed on removing the weeds and nonnatives from the basins of each of the container plants and cuttings; however, nonnative weeds just outside of the planting areas can migrate into the planting areas via seed dispersal. Outside of the nesting bird season, a focus should also be made to remove nonnative weeds in areas where least Bell's vireos are likely to nest during the breeding season (i.e., in the vicinity of the least Bell's vireo nest that was active in 2020). Nonnative plants and weeds that have gone to seed should be bagged and removed from the mitigation area. Without the use of herbicides, control of the nonnatives will be extremely difficult so the frequency and level of effort will need to be increased to provide control until the native plants and seedlings have a chance to grow and outcompete the nonnatives. In particular, it is important to maintain long-term perennial pepperweed management to reduce competition and allow native plants to germinate. In addition, dodder should be removed from container plants in the mitigation areas. Although many species of dodder are native, this parasitic plant can be harmful to younger shrubs and trees that are not yet established and can even cause mortality.

3.2 Irrigation

The irrigation system was inspected for functionality and appeared to be properly installed. Irrigation was not actively occurring during the monitoring visit. Some of the emitters were observed to be outside of the container plant basins, likely due to erosion, water flow, and/or public interference. Twice weekly watering events should be conducted for the container plants unless adequate rainfall occurs. After watering, the container plant basins should have at least 0.5 inch of saturation depth. Continual maintenance of the irrigation system should be conducted to ensure all plants are evenly watered and the tube emitters are placed at the base of the container plants. Watering of the areas that were only seeded is not recommended.

3.3 Herbivory

Only minor herbivory of container plants was observed in the Phase 3 mitigation areas. A small number of the container plants in the Tire Wash mitigation area appeared to be missing, which may be the result of herbivory. Minor herbivory generally will not kill the plants, but continued monitoring should be conducted during future visits to determine if herbivory is worsening. As the plants become more established, they will be less susceptible to the effects of herbivory. It should be noted that cages were installed by Nature's Image around container plants that appeared to be most susceptible to herbivory following container plant installation; however, if herbivory becomes an issue for the Phase 3 mitigation areas, additional caging around affected and/or favored container plants may be warranted.

3.4 Erosion

Portions of the Side Slopes that were previously noted as having significant erosion that occurred as a result of heavy rainfall during the 2023 wet season and following the tropical storm that occurred in late August 2023 were noted as having been repaired during the previous monitoring visit and will be seeded during the fall/winter of 2023/2024. As more perennial plants become established on the Side Slopes, erosion should become less of an issue; however, action will need to be taken to repair the damage caused by the erosion and measures should be taken to reduce damage from erosion in the future. Supplemental seeding of the Side Slopes should be implemented following the repair of the Side Slopes. During future monitoring events, erosion should continue to be monitored in all planted areas and if warranted, erosion Best Management Practices should be installed in appropriate areas. This may only require the installation of straw wattles at select sites to prevent existing rills from becoming larger.

If you have any questions about the information presented in this letter, please contact me at Cadams@ecorpconsulting.com or (714) 732-9266.

Sincerely,

January 30, 2024
Carley (Lancaster) Adams
Senior Biologist

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A – Field Notes

Appendix B – Photo Documentation

APPENDIX A

Field Notes

APPENDIX B

Photo Documentation